ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL REGIONAL BENCH GUWAHATI (Through Video-conferencing)

O.A. NO.26 OF 2018

In the matter of:

Ex-Rect M Dinakanta Singh Versus Union of India & Ors. ... Applicant

...Respondent

For applicant : For the Respondents : Mr. A R Tahbildar, Advocate Mr. P J Barman, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE LT GEN PM HARIZ, MEMBER(A)

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, by the applicant who is aggrieved by the impugned order dated 28.08.2014 of the respondents rejecting applicant's claim for disability pension along with rounding off benefits with effect from the date he was invalidated out from service with arrears and interest.

Brief facts of the case

The applicant was enrolled in the Army on 26.02.2010 2. and was invalidated out from the service on 02.08.2010 under Army Rule 13 (3) (iv) after rendering five months and four days of service. The IMB held on 07.07.2010 recommended the applicant to be invalidated out from service in medical category \$5 (Permanent) for his disability diagnosed as "Depressive Episode Severe with Psychotic Symptoms and Deliberate Self Harm" and assessed the composite disability percentage @ 40% for life and is neither attributable to nor opinioned that the disability aggravated by military service. Hence, percentage of disability for disability pension was assessed @ NIL and no disability pension was granted to the individual on being Invalided out. The first appeal submitted against rejection of disability was rejected vide letter No.B/40502/015/AG/PS-4 (Imp-II) dated 20.06.2011 stating that - "Both the IDs (Depressive Episode Severe with Psychotic Symptoms, and Deliberate Self Harm) are psychiatric disorder with various endogenous/exogenous factors. The onset of IDs was on 12.03.2010 within one month of joining training. The service stress was too short to cause or aggravate the IDs. Therefore, RMB has appropriately held the disability as neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service. Thereafter, the

applicant submitted second appeal against rejection of first appeal for grant of disability pension which was also rejected by the competent authority vide B/38046A/579/2012/AG/PS-4 (2nd Appeal) dated 28.08.2014. Hence, this OA.

Arguments by Counsel of the Applicant

The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that as per 3. Rule 4 of the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982 invalidation from service is a necessary condition for grant of disability pension. An individual is in low medical category then that to which he was recruited, will be treated as Invalided from service. In the instant case the applicant had been invalided out of service due to permanent low medical category, so he is entitled to the disability pension along-with the rounding off benefit from 40% to 50%. Further the counsel submitted that if the Medical Board at the time of his enrolment, did not record anything to signify that the personnel was suffering from any disease or disability, then any kind of disability that is detected during his service period will be considered as attributable to and aggravated by military service. In view of this, the applicant is entitled to the disability pension.

Arguments by Counsel of the Respondents

The learned counsel for the respondents took us through 4. the IMB proceedings and submitted that the respondents rejected the disability pension on the ground that the IDs are psychiatric disorder with various endogenous/ exogenous factors Moreover, the respondents held that the IDs was a result of the interplay of genetic, biological, environmental and psychological factors including drug and sexual abuse. The individual had no sexual or physical abuse and there was no close time relationship of individual having served in a field/ CI Ops/ HAA area or any other service related causative factors for the diseases. He developed the IDs within one month of his enrolment and was under training in a peace station and served in peace area thereafter till his invalidation. Counsel for the respondents further asserted that the onset of IDs was on 12.03.2010 (within one month of training) and that the service stress was too short to cause or aggravate the IDs. Therefore, the IMB had rightly held the IDs as neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service.

Consideration

5. The issue to be decided is whether the disabilities of the applicant vis "Depressive Episode Severe with Psychotic Symptoms

OA-26/2018 WITH MA 18/2018 Ex-Rect M Dinakanta Singh

4

and Deliberate Self Harm" are attributable to military service which entitles the applicant for disability pension along with rounding off benefits?

6. We have heard both the parties and perused the material placed on record. Guidelines for assessment of Psychiatric Disorder have been laid down in the Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pension), 2008 which elaborates in detail the factors related to attributability and aggravation of psychiatric disorders in Para 54 which are reproduced below:

54. Mental & Behavioural (Psychiatric) Disorders

Psychiatric illness results from a complex interplay of endogenous (genetic/biological) and exogenous (environmental, psychosocial as well as physical) factors. This is true for the entire spectrum of psychiatric disorders (Psychosis & Neurosis) including substance abuse disorders. The relative contribution of each, of course, varies from one diagnostic category to another and from case to case.

The concept of attributability or aggravation due to the stress and strain of military service can be, therefore, evaluated independent of the diagnosis and will be determined by the specific circumstances of each case.

(a) Attributability will be conceded where the psychiatric disorder occurs when the individual is serving in or involved in :-

(i) Combat area including counterinsurgency operational area

(ii) HAA Service

(iii) Deployment at extremely isolated posts

(iv) Diving or submarine accidents, lost at sea

(v) Service on sea

••

(vi) MT accidents involving loss of life or Flying accidents (both as flier and passenger) in a service aircraft or aircraft accident involving loss of life in the station

(vii) Catastrophic disasters particularly while aiding civil authorities like earthquake, cyclone, tsunami, fires, volcanic eruptions (where one has to handle work in proximity of dead or decomposing bodies)

(b) Attributability will also be conceded when the psychiatric disorder arises within one year of serious/multiple injuries (e.g. amputation of upper/lower limb, paraplegia, quadriplegia, severe head injury resulting in hemiplegia of gross neuro cognitive deficit which are themselves considered attributable to military service. This includes Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD).

(c) Aggravation will be considered in Psychiatric disorders arising within 3 months of denial of leave due to exigencies of service in the face of:

(i) Death of parent when the individual is the only child/son
(ii) Death of spouse or children

(iii) Heinous crimes (e.g. murder, rape or dacoity) against members of the immediate family

(iv) Reprisals or the threat of reprisals against members of the immediate family by militants/terrorists owing to the fact of the individual being a member of the Armed Forces

(v) Natural disasters such as cyclones/earthquakes involving the safety of the immediate family

(vi) Marriage of children or sister when the individual is the only brother thereof and specially if their father is deceased.

(d) Aggravation will also be conceded when after being diagnosed as a patient of psychiatric disorder with specific restrictions of employability the individual serves in such service environment which worsened his diseases because of the stress and strain involved like service in combat area including counter insurgency operations, HAA, service on board ships, flying duties

(e) Attributability may be granted to any psychiatric disorder occurring in recruits and results in invalidment from service only when clearly identifiable severe stressors including sexual abuse or physical abuse are present as causative factor/factors for the illness.

7. Before coming to a considered opinion, it would be pertinent to refer to the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in Civil Appeal No 7672 of 2019 (Diary No 27850 of 2017), decided on 03/10/2019, in the case of Ex Cfn Narsingh Yadav Vs UOI & Others.

wherein the Apex Court had upheld the decision of AFT, Regional Bench, Lucknow in OA No.235 of 2010 dated 23.09.2011 denying disability pension to a soldier medically boarded out with Schizophrenia having less than four years of service. The Supreme Court was pleased to view ~

"20. In the present case, clause 14 (d), as amended in the year 1996 and reproduced above, would be applicable as entitlement to Disability Pension shall not be considered unless it is clearly established that the cause of such disease was adversely affected due to factors related to conditions of military service. Though, the provision of grant of Disability Pension is a beneficial provision but, mental disorder at the time of recruitment cannot normally be detected when a person behaves normally. Since there is a possibility of non-detection of mental disorder therefore, it cannot be said that Schizophrenia is presumed to be attributed to or aggravated by military service.

21. Though, the opinion of the Medical Board is subject to judicial review but the Courts are not possessed of expertise to dispute such report unless there is strong medical evidence on record to dispute the opinion of the Medical Board which may warrant the constitution of the Review Medical Board. The invaliding Medical Board has categorically held that the appellant is not fit for further service and there is no material on record to doubt the correctness of the Report of the invaliding Medical Board.

22. Thus, we do not find any merit in the present appeal, accordingly, the same is dismissed".

8. Moreover, the Supreme Court Judgement (supra) amplifies that mental disorders which cannot be medically detected during the enrolment process cannot be claimed to be attributable to rigours of service at a later stage: *"Relapsing forms of mental disorders which have intervals of normality and Epilepsy are undetectable diseases while carrying out physical examination on enrolment, unless adequate history is given at the time by the member".*

9. Moreover, **Para 5** of the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards to the Armed Forces Personnel 2008 makes it clear that the medical test at the time of entry is not exhaustive, but its scope is limited to broad physical examination. Therefore, it may not detect some dormant disease. Besides, certain hereditary constitutional and congenital diseases may manifest later in life, irrespective of service conditions. The mere fact that a disease has manifested during military service does not per se establish attributability to or aggravation by military service.

10. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of UOI VS Ravinder Kumar in **Civil Appeal No.1837/2009** *held that- "5. We are of the view that the opinion of the Medical Board which is an expert body must be given due weight, value and credence. Person claiming disability pension must establish that the injury suffered by him bears a causal connection with military service".*

> OA-26/2018 WITH MA 18/2018 Ex-Rect M Dinakanta Singh

9

11. From the material placed on record and the averments made by the Learned Counsel for the Applicant, there is no evidence of even a remote causal link to any service related trauma which can be considered to be a contributory factor to the mental condition of the applicant who has been only under training, that too for less than 6 months.

12. From, the above, it is clear that the IDs of the applicant have no causal connection with service. The release medical board has rightly considered the disability as NANA and we find no infirmity in its proceedings. Therefore, the applicant is not entitled for grant of disability element of pension. The application is devoid of merits, hence dismissed.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands closed.

ashok

Pronounced in open Court on this _____15th___ day of May, 2023.

(JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON) CHAIRPERSON

(LT GEN P.M. HARIZ) MEMBER(A)