Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, GUWAHATI

(SI. No. 8)

Applicant

O.A. No. 17 of 2021

Ex. Hony. Nb. Sub. WNG Eliza Anal

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri A.R. Tahbildar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri P.K. Garodia, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>05.04.2023</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal Balakrishnan Suresh, Member (A)
	Heard Shri A.R. Tahbildar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.K. Garodia, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed . For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.
	(Air Marshal Balakrishnan Suresh) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, GUWAHATI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 17 of 2021

Wednesday, this the 05th day of April, 2023

"Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal Balakrishnan Suresh, Member (A)"

No. 14702446W Ex. Hony. Nb. Sub. WNG Eliza Anal.

..... Applicant

Ld. Counsel for the : Shri A.R. Tahbildar, Advocate. Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

.....Respondents

Ld. Counsel for the Respondents. : Shri P.K. Garodia, Advocate Central Govt. Counsel

ORDER

"Per Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)"

1. The instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs :-

- 8.1) to quash and set aside the impugned letter No. B/40502/1116/2019/AG/PS-4 (Imp-II) dated 19.03.2020 (Annexure –D) issued by Dy. Director, AG/PS-4(Imp-II0 rejecting the First Appeal of the applicant claiming disability element of pension for the disease 'Primary Hypertension', with a further direction to accept applicant's disability to be attributable to or aggravated by the military service.
- 8.2) To pay disability element of pension for life with effect from the date of his discharge from service i.e. 01.10.2011 along with the rounding off benefit of disability element from 50% to 75% with arrears and interest thereon.

And/or pass such further order/orders as to your Lordships may deem fit and proper.

Briefly stated, applicant was enrolled in the Indian Army on 2. 16.09.1987 and discharged from service on 30.09.2011 in Low Medical Category on completion of terms of engagement under Rule 13(3) Item III (i) of the Army Rules, 1954. At the time of discharge from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at Military Hospital, Ranikhet on 30.05.2011 assessed his disabilities (i) 'Pemphigus Vulgaris' @20% for life as aggravated by military service, (ii) 'Bilateral Posterior Sub Capsular Lt (Eye)' @30% for life and (iii) **'Primary**

Hypertension' @30% for life, and opined the second and third disabilities as neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service, composite disabilities @40% for life. Accordingly, the applicant was granted Disability Element of pension @20% for life rounded off to 50% for life. But the applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension for the third disability was rejected. The applicant preferred First Appeal dated 14.10.2019 which too was rejected vide letter dated 19.03.2020. The applicant preferred Second Appeal dated 06.10.2020 which too was rejected vide letter dated 19.04.2021. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the

3

present Original Application.

FT 1000100

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant pleaded that at the time of enrolment, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Army and there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of enrolment in Army. The third disease of the applicant has been regarded as neither attributable to nor aggravated by service. This disease of the applicant was also contracted during the service, hence it is also attributable to and aggravated by Military Service. He pleaded that various Benches of Armed

O.A. No. 17 of 2021

Forces Tribunal have granted disability pension in similar cases, as such the applicant be granted disability element of disability pension and its rounding off to 75% for the third disability also.

4. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that the first disability of the applicant has been regarded as aggravated by military service, hence, he was granted disability element of disability pension @20% for life rounded off to 50% for life. He further contended that third disability i.e. **'Primary Hypertension'** of the applicant @30% for life has been regarded as NANA by the RMB, hence applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension for the third disability. He pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.

5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant as also Ld. Counsel for the respondents. We have also gone through the Release Medical Board proceedings as well as the records and we find that the questions which need to be answered are of two folds:-

(a) Whether the third disability i.e. 'Primary
Hypertension' of the applicant is also attributable to or aggravated by Military Service?

4

1777

(b) Whether the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off the disability element of pension for third disability also?

6. The law on attributability of a disability has already been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Dharamvir Singh Versus Union of India & Others,* reported in (2013) 7 Supreme Court Cases 316. In this case the Apex Court took note of the provisions of the Pensions Regulations, Entitlement Rules and the General Rules of Guidance to Medical Officers to sum up the legal position emerging from the same in the following words.

"29.1. Disability pension to be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by military service in non-battle casualty and is assessed at 20% or over. The question whether a disability is attributable to or aggravated by military service to be determined under the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982 of Appendix II (Regulation 173).

29.2. A member is to be presumed in sound physical and mental condition upon entering service if there is no note or record at the time of entrance. In the event of his subsequently being discharged from service on medical grounds any deterioration in his health is to be

5

/

presumed due to service [Rule 5 read with Rule 14(b)].

29.3. The onus of proof is not on the claimant (employee), the corollary is that onus of proof that the condition for non-entitlement is with the employer. A claimant has a right to derive benefit of any reasonable doubt and is entitled for pensionary benefit more liberally (Rule 9).

29.4. If a disease is accepted to have been as having arisen in service, it must also be established that the conditions of military service determined or contributed to the onset of the disease and that the conditions were due to the circumstances of duty in military service [Rule 14(c)]. [pic]

29.5. If no note of any disability or disease was made at the time of individual's acceptance for military service, a disease which has led to an individual's discharge or death will be deemed to have arisen in service [Rule 14(b)].

29.6. If medical opinion holds that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination prior to the acceptance for service and that disease will not be deemed to have arisen during service, the Medical Board is required to state the reasons [Rule 14(b)]; and 29.7. It is mandatory for the Medical Board to follow the guidelines laid down in Chapter II of the Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions). 2002 -----"Entitlement: General Principles", including Paras 7, 8 and 9 as referred to above (para 27)."

7. In view of the settled position of law on attributability, we find that the RMB has denied attributability to the applicant only by endorsing that the third disability **'Primary Hypertension'** is

O.A. No. 17 of 2021

is neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service on the ground of onset of disability on 10.07.2008 while posted in Péace location (2 NAGA), therefore, applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the opinion that this reasoning of Release Medical Board for denying disability element of disability pension to applicant is not convincing and doesn't reflect the complete truth on the matter. Peace Stations have their own pressure of rigorous military training and associated stress and strain of military service. The applicant was enrolled in Indian Army on 16.09.1987 and the disability has started after more than 20 years of Army service i.e. on 10.07.2008. We are therefore of the considered opinion that the benefit of doubt in these circumstances should be given to the applicant in view of Dharamvir Singh vs Union of India & Ors (supra), and the third disability i.e. 'Primary Hypertension' of the applicant should also be considered as aggravated by military service. Be it mentioned that the applicant has not claimed the disability element of disability pension for the second disability, therefore, it need not be adjudicated.

7

/77

8. In para 17 A (a) of Chapter VII of the Guide to Medical Officer (Military Pensions), 2002 the provision for composite assessment has been mentioned which reads as under :-

"17A. Composite Assessment

1000

(a) Where there are two or more disabilities due to service, compensation will be based on the composite assessment of the degree of disablement. Generally speaking, when separate disabilities have entirely different functional effects, the composite assessment will be the arithmetical sum of their separate assessment. But where the functional effects of the disabilities overlap, the composite assessment will be reduced in proportion to the degree of overlapping. There is a tendency for some Medical Boards to reduce the composite assessment in the former group of cases. This is not correct."

9. In view of above, since in the instant case first and third disabilities have entirely different functional effects, hence the composite assessment is to be the arithmetical sum of their separate assessment. The degree of first disability is @20% for life and third disability is @30% for life. Accordingly, we hold that the composite assessment of first and third disabilities is @50% for life.

10. The law on the point of rounding off of disability pension is no more RES INTEGRA in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of *Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar* & ors (Civil appeal No 418 of 2012 decided on 10th December 2014). In this Judgment the Hon'ble Apex Court nodded in disapproval of the policy of the Government of India in granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension only to the personnel who have been invalided out of service and denying the same to the personnel who have retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of their tenure of engagement. The relevant portion of the decision is excerpted below:-

9

"4 By the present set of appeals, the appellant (s) raise the question, whether or not, an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is attributable to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No 1(2)/97/D (Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India. dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who is invalidated out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.

5. We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties to the lis.

6. We do not see any error in the impugned judgment (s) and order(s) and

O.A. No. 17 of 2021

therefore, all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.

7. The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.

8. This Court grants six weeks' time from today to the appellant(s) to comply with the orders and directions passed by us."

Additionally, consequent upon the issue of Government of 11 Ministry of Defence letter No. India. 17(01)/2017(01)/D (Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj has issued Circular No. 596 dated 09.02.2018 wherein it is provided that the cases where Armed Forces Pensioners who were retired/discharged voluntary or otherwise with disability and they were in receipt of Disability/War Injury Element as on 31.12.2015, their extent of disability/War Injury Element shall be re-computed in the manner given in the said Circular which is applicable with effect from 01.01.2016.

12. As such, in view of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors*

(*supra*) as well as Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017(01)/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, we are of the considered view that benefit of rounding off of disability element of disability pension @50% for life to be rounded off to 75% for life may be extended to the applicant for the third disability also from the next date of his discharge.

13. In view of the above, the Original Application No. 17 of 2021 deserves to be allowed, hence allowed. The impugned orders, rejecting the applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension for the third disability 'Primary Hypertension' are set aside. Be it mentioned that the applicant's first disability has already been regarded as attributable to or aggravated by military service and the applicant is getting Disability Element @20% for life duly rounded off to 50% for life. The third disability i.e. 'Primary Hypertension' of the applicant is also held as aggravated by Army Service. The applicant is held entitled to get disability element @50% for life which would be rounded off to 75% for life from the next date of his discharge. The respondents are directed to grant disability element to the applicant @50% for life which would stand rounded off to 75% for life from the next date of his discharge.

1

However, in view of law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Shiv Dass vs. Union of India*, reported in 2007 (3) SLR 445, the arrears of disability element of pension @50% to be rounded off to 75% shall be restricted with effect from three years prior to filing of the Original Application. The Disability Element of pension paid from the three years prior to filing of the Original Application shall be adjusted from the arrears. The date of filing of Original Application is 21.06.2021. The respondents are further directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment

14. No order as to costs.

(Air Marshal Balakrishnan Suresh) Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)

Dated : 05 April, 2023

AKD/MC/-