## THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL

## REGIONAL BENCH, GUWAHATI

## MA-21/2015 (In TA-06/2012)

Rfn Amar Nath Yadav

**Applicant** 

By legal practitioners for Applicant
Mr. B.C.Pathak

- Versus -

**UOI** and Ors

Respondents **By legal practitioner for Respondents**Mr.C.Baruah,CGSC

P R E S E N T
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.P.KATAKEY, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE VICE ADMIRAL A.G.THAPLIYAL, MEMBER (A)

## 30.03.2016

Heard Mr. B.C.Pathak, learned counsel appearing for the applicant. Also heard Ms.D.Tamuli, learned counsel representing Mr. C.Baruah, learned CGSC assisted by Col Anand, appearing for the respondents.

The applicant has filed this Misc. Application contending, *inter alia*, that despite the direction issued vide order dated 05.02.2013 passed in TA 06/2012 directing the respondents to reinstate the applicant in service forthwith with the benefits to which he will be entitled to, the applicant though has been reinstated in service, the salary for the period from the date of discharge till the date of reinstatement has not been paid.

The applicant has been reinstated in service vide order dated 10.05.2013 (Annexure-4) pursuant to the aforesaid order passed by this Tribunal. It appears from the order dated

10.05.2013 passed by the Director General of Assam Rifles, reinstating the applicant in service that the applicant's service for the period from the date of discharge till the date of reinstatement was directed to be treated as non-qualifying service for all official purposes.

Having regard to the aforesaid position and also to explain the existing statutory rules if any, as has been mentioned in the order dated 03.06.2013 (Annexure-3), a competent officer of the Office of the Director General of Assam Rifles, Shillong, shall on the next date fixed appear in person before this Tribunal.

List on 10.05.2016.

Order dasti.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

mc